



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/642,674	08/19/2003		Dong-ki Hong	1293.1800	3494		
21171	7590	12/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
STAAS & SUITE 700	HALSEY	LLP	CHU, KIN	CHU, KIM KWOK			
	YORK AV	ENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING			2627				

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/642,674	HONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kim-Kwok CHU	2627			
Danie d fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess		
Period fo	• •	V 10 057 TO 5VD175 - 14	0.1.T.1.(0) 0.7.T.1.T.T.(0.0)			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY IN THE MAILING IDENTIFY IDENTIFY IN THE MAILING IDENTIFY IDENTIFY IDENTIFY IN THE MAILING IDENTIFY IDE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this command the comment of the comm	·		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ame	endment filed on 9/29/2006	•			
		is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	on Papers			F		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 August 2003</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•		
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National St	age		
* 0	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	receivea.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Response to Remarks

1. Applicant's Amendment filed on September 29, 2006 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

With respect Claims 1 and 7, Applicant states that the prior art of Son et al. do not discuss "searching a memory in the disc drive for a tilt angle for a recording or reproducing sector of the disc in which the tilt is detected" (page 6 of the Remarks, lines 18 and 19). Accordingly, the prior art of Son teaches a tilt adjusting means where optimum tilt adjustment values are stored in the memory (Figs. 2 and 7; steps S712-S716). When there is a tilt during recording and reproducing, Son's calculated tilt adjustment value is applied to the tilt driving unit to adjust the tilt of the optical pickup to record data (column 7, lines 26-37). Applicant does not agree that Son's calculated tilt adjustment values as illustrated in Fig. 7, step S714 is the claimed tilt angle (page 6 of the Remarks, lines 21 and 22). Accordingly, Applicant's claimed tilt angle is stored in the memory in form of pulses/position information (section 31). Similarly, the prior art of Son's tilt angle also stored in the memory in form of disk position information S inner and S-outer (Fig. 7; S706 and S710).

With respect to Claims 3 and 4, Applicant states that the prior art of Son's tilt angle is not searched where the pickup in currently positioned (page 7 of the Remarks, lines 13 and 14). Accordingly, Son's tilt control value is calculated at the recording position by interpolation (Fig. Step S714). In other words, Son's tilt angle is searched with an interpolation method with respect to the current position of the pickup. The parameters used in Son's search method is stored in the memory as illustrated in Fig. 7, step S706 and S710).

With respect to Claims 5 and 6, Applicant states a similar disagreement as above. In short, Applicant does not agree that the prior art of Son teaches the feature "search a memory for a tilt angle" (page 8 of Remarks, lines 3-5). Accordingly, the prior art of Son stores the disk tilt/position information in the memory similar to Applicant's position information in form of pulses stored in the memory. For controlling the tilting of a recording medium, both Applicant and the prior art of Son calculate (search) a tilting adjustment value based on position information stored in the memory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-(b) the invention was patented or described in a
printed publication in this or a foreign country or in
public use or on sale in this country, more than one
year prior to the date of application for patent in
the United States.

- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Son et al. (U.S. Patent 6,42,674).
- 4. Son teaches a method of correcting a tilt in a disk drive having all of the steps as recited in claims 1 and 2. For example, Son teaches the following:
- (a) with respect to Claim 1, detecting a tilt of a disc 11 loaded in the disc drive (Figs. 2 and 7, steps S710); searching a memory 38 in the disc drive for a tilt angle for a recording or reproducing sector of the disc in which the tilt is detected (Figs. 2 and 7, step S712; column 7, lines 22-26); calculating (by interpolation) a tilt angle for the recording or reproducing sector based on the detected tilt of the disc if no tilt angle is found in the memory 38 (Fig. 7, step S714; column 7, lines 31-33); correcting the tilt of the disc (Fig. 7, step S716); storing the calculated tilt angle in the memory so that the calculated tilt angle is used for the recording or

reproducing sector (Fig. 7, step S712); if a tilt angle is found in the memory 38, the tilt of the disc is corrected using the found tilt angle, and if the tilt angle is not found in the memory 38, the tilt of the disc is corrected using the calculated (interpolated) tilt angle (Fig. 7, steps S710-S716).

(b) with respect to Claim 2, the recording or reproducing sector of the disc 11 is based on information on the position of a pickup based on the number of pulses (digital signals) for driving a motor (disk motor) for controlling movement of the pickup in the disc drive (Fig. 2; optical pickup is moved by digital signal).

- 5. Son teaches a tilt correcting apparatus having all of the elements and means as recited in claims 3 and 4. For example, Son teaches the following:
- (a) with respect to Claim 3, a pickup that radiates light onto the disc (Fig. 2); a tilt detector 26 that detects a tilt of the disc using the pickup (Fig. 2); a motor 28 that drives the pickup to correct the tilt of the disc 11; a memory 38 that stores a tilt angle for each of the plurality of recording and reproducing sectors of the disc 11 (Fig. 2); a controller 36 that, if the tilt of the disc is detected, searches the memory for the tilt angle for the recording or reproducing sector of the disc wherein the pickup is currently positioned, and controls driving of the motor using the searched tilt angle (Figs. 2 and 7).
- (b) with respect to Claim 4, if the tilt angle is not found in the memory, the controller 36 calculates the tilt angle for the recording or reproducing sector of the disc wherein the pickup is currently positioned based on the tilt of the disc, corrects the tilt of the disc using the calculated tilt angle, and stores the calculated tilt angle in the memory (Fig. 7; steps S706 and S710-S716).

6. Claims 5 and 6 have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejection, and are met by the reference as discussed above. Claim 5 however also recites the following limitation which is also taught by the prior art of Son:

(a) as in claim 5, the pickup moves in a radial direction of the disc (Figs. 2 and 7; steps S704 and S706).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over by Son et al. (U.S. Patent 6,42,674) in view of Nishiwaki (U.S. Patent 6,704,254).

Son teaches a tilt correcting apparatus very similar to that of the present invention as recited in claims 7 and 8. For example, Son teaches the following:

(a) with respect to Claim 7, detecting a tilt of a disc 11 loaded in the disc drive (Figs. 2 and 7, steps S710); searching a memory 38 in the disc drive for a tilt angle for a recording or reproducing sector of the disc in

which the tilt is detected (Figs. 2 and 7, step S712; column 7, lines 22-26); calculating (by interpolation) a tilt angle for the recording or reproducing sector based on the detected tilt of the disc if no tilt angle is found in the memory 38 (Fig. 7, step S714; column 7, lines 31-33); correcting the tilt of the disc (Fig. 7, step S716); storing the calculated tilt angle in the memory so that the calculated tilt angle is used for the recording or reproducing sector (Fig. 7, step S712); if a tilt angle is found in the memory 38, the tilt of the disc is corrected using the found tilt angle, and if the tilt angle is not found in the memory 38, the tilt of the disc is corrected using the calculated (interpolated) tilt angle (Fig. 7, steps S710-S716).

However, Son does not teach the following:

(a) with respect to Claim 7, tilt correcting method is implemented by a computer readable encoded with processing instructions (program).

Nishiwaki teaches an optical disk control method where its tilt adjustment is controlled by a program stored in a recording medium (column 17, claim 14).

In order to access compensated values in a tilt correcting operation, a software servo program is more flexible than a hardware device such as a digital signal processing unit. Therefore, when there is a disc servo

control where variables such as tilt correcting values needed to be stored, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the tilt servo method such as Son's in form of Nisiwaki's software executable instructions and stored it in Nishiwaki's computer readable recording medium instead of electronic circuits, because the software design cost less and its instructions/steps can be updated or modified.

(b) with respect to claim 8, Son further teaches that the recording or reproducing sector of the disc 11 is based on information on the position of a pickup based on the number of pulses (digital signals) for driving a motor (disk motor) for controlling movement of the pickup in the disc drive (Fig. 2; optical pickup is moved by digital signal).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Furukawa et al. (6,137,754) is pertinent because

Furukawa teaches a search method for a tilt correction value
in a memory.

Ohmi (6,041,030) is pertinent because Ohmi teaches a tilt control value stored in a memory.

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kim CHU whose telephone number is (571) 272-7585 between 9:30 am to 6:00 pm, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington, can be reached on (571) 272-4483.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9191 (toll free).

Kim-Kwok CHU

Ce 1470/206 Examiner AU262 November 30, 2006

(571) 272-7585

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER